

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/815,265 | JEPSEN ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Paul W. Schlie | 2186 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) Paul W. Schlie (USPTO).

(3) Edmund PI Pfleger (applicant's attorney).

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 September 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

applicant's argument regarding the contention that SAS standard does not provide for SCSI extended copy commands.

Claims discussed:

all inclusive

Prior art documents discussed:

t10 standards committee published SAS standards documents (as denoted in accompanying 892).

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

essentially providing evidence that SAS does provide for extended copy by way of providing explicit support for the SCSI command protocol inclusive of extended copy for review by the applicant.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)